Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/752,172	SHAUGHNESSY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James H. Blackwell	2176
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>James H. Blackwell</u> .	(3)	
(2) Shelly Beckstrand.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 August 2005	Time: <u>11:30 AM EDT</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	WILLIAM B PRIMARY E 9/1/2	J.Beslice ASHORE EXAMINER
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted attorney in response to a 35 U.S.C. 101 issue with the Specification (pp. 157-158, paragraph subtitled Alternative Embodiments) wherein the content contained language determined to suggest "carrier waves" as a tangible storage medium. Examiner suggested changes to make this paragraph of the Specification compliant under 35 U.S.C. 101. Attorney agreed to the corrections.

Ollow J. Balone
WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
9(1/2005